

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 04 2009

PAGE 1/9 \* RCVD AT 11/14/2009 10:02:36 PM [Eastern Standard Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFAXRF-S/1 \* DNS:2738300 \* CSID: \* DURATION (mm-ss):01:44

100 Saint Ayers Way  
Chapel Hill, NC 27517-2362  
Dated: November 4<sup>th</sup>, 2009

Commissioner for Patents  
USPTO, PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

(Kind attn: Mr. Vivek D Koppikar, Examiner, ART Unit 3686)

Subject: Filing of "Supplemental Amendment:" patent application 10/735,333  
filing date 12/12/2003 – Request for Continued Examination

Reference: Our telephone discussion on 10/30/2009, and your observations on  
response filed October 6<sup>th</sup>, 2009.

Dear Sir:

As advised during our conversation, I am filing this response to address your  
observations on this application, and the claims have been revised to reflect the  
following points that were noted:

- A specific claim can only be directed either at a system, or a method, but not at both. Certain claims can be used to describe a system, and then subsequent claims to describe the methods.
- Reference can be cited in a subsequent claim only to the whole claim, not a part of it, like 1 d is not admissible, while 1 is correct.
- Usage of adjectives must be limited, example you cited is like mentioning the hybrid engine, instead of saying it is a nice car –


features need to be brought out in a technical manner and language to specifically describe the invention instead of focusing on its usage.

- Claim 1 needs to limit advantages and usage of the invention.
- Unique features of the invention need to be brought out in a technical manner, like "UIN is not recycled."

Previous claims 1 to 11 in respect of application 10/735,333 filing date 12/12/2003, per response filed on 10/06/2009 are cancelled and new claims 1 to 11 are attached.

I hope that the above clarifications and changes made to the claims address all your observations. Kindly let me know if there remains anything that still needs to be addressed, or if you have any further observations.

Respectfully submitted,



/ABHINAV AGGARWAL/

Abhinav Aggarwal, Ph.D.

USPTO Customer No. 59597

Enclosure:

1. Revised Claims

Previous claims 1 to 11 [Cancelled]